MAEER PUNE'S

MAHARASHTRA INSTITUTE OF DENTAL SCIENCES AND RESEARCH (DC), LATUR

POST GRADUATE STUDENTS
PRE-CLINICAL & CLINICAL EXERCISE LOG BOOK

DEPARTMENT OF ORTHODONTICS AND DENTOFACIAL ORTHOPEDICS

DEPT. OF ORTHODONTICS &DENTOFACIAL ORTHOPAEDICS

MAHARASHTRA INSTITUTE OF DENTAL SCIENCES AND RESEARCH (DC), LATUR

Log Book / Work Diary

NAME OF PG STUDENT : _____

ACADEMIC YEAR : _____

NAME OF GUIDE : _____

DESIGNATION OF THE GUIDE : _____

PROFILE

Name	
Date of Birth	
Date of Joining	
Educational Qualification	B.D.S.
Institute of Under Graduation	
Course enrolled for	M.D.S. (Orthodontics & Dentofacial Orthopedics)
Name of Post-Graduate Guide	
Thesis Title	
Library Dissertation Title	
Number of Paper presented	
Number of Poster/ Table clinic presented	
Residential Address	

PG QUOTA DEPT OF ORTHODONTICS

SR NO	EXCERCISE	MIN QUOTA	TOTAL NO	SIGN
1	Basic wire bending	7		
2	Preclinical wire bending	20		
3	Preclinical appliances exercise	17		
4	Soldering exercise	1		
5	Study model preparation and model analysis	1		
6	Cephalometrics analysis	14		
7	Various superimposition	3		
8	Basic clinical photography	2		
9	Bonwill Hawley ideal arch preparation	1		
10	Computerized imaging (COGS analysis)	1		
11	Preparation of surgical splint & splint for TMJ	1		
12	Vacuum forming appliance	1		
13	Typhodont exercise and stage wise wire bending	1		
	CLINICAL WORK (TYPE OF CASES)			
	Fixed Mechanotherapy cases	50		
	1) class I with crowding			
	2) class I with bimaxillary protrusion			
	3) class II division - 1			
	4) class II division – 2			
	5) class III (Orthopedics, surgical, orthodontics cases)			
	6) appliances for arch development			
	Removable active appliances	20		
	1) removable functional appliance			
	2) fixed functional appliances			
	3) dentofacial orthopedic appliance			
	Inter Disciplinary Case Discussion	6		
	Debonded cases with retention plate delivered			
	Allotted transferred cases	25		
	Intraoral scanning	1		

PG QUOTA DEPT OF ORTHODONTICS

SR NO	EXERCISE	MIN QUOTA	TOTAL NO	SIGN
Academic activities				
15	Seminar presented	15		
16	Seminar attended			
17	Journal club presented	15		
18	Journal club attended			
19	Undergraduate lecture	5		
Scientific activities				
20	Conference	1		
21	PG convention	2		
22	Workshop	1		
23	Basic research methodology	1		
24	CDE program	1		
25	Poster presentation	2		
26	Paper presentation	1		
27	Table clinic presentation	1		
28	Value added course	1		
29	Articles publication	2		
30	Library Dissertation	1		
31	Thesis	1		
Extracurricular activities				
32	Copyright	1		
33	Short Study	1		
34	Awards & prizes	1		
35	Patent	1		
36	Clinical Innovation	1		
37	Attendance	100%		

BASIC WIRE BENDING EXERCISES

Sr. No	Exercises	NO.	Date	Score	Signature
1	Straightening of 15cm long wire (0.8mm)	1			
2	Square of 5x5 cm (0.8mm)	1			
3	Rectangle 5x2.5 cm (0.8mm)	1			
4	Triangle of 5x5x5 cm (0.8mm)	1			
5	Circle of 5cm diameter (0.8mm)	1			
6	Bending of 5U's (0.8mm)	1			
7	Bending of 5V's (0.8mm)	1			

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

1. PRECLINICAL WIRE BENDING EXERCISES

A. Different Types of clasps:

Sr. No.	Name of wire component	No.	Date	Score	Signature
1	The circumferential clasp	1			
2	Jackson's clasp	1			
3	The Adam's clasp – upper molars	1			
4	The Adam's clasp – premolars	1			
5	The Adam's clasp – incisors	1			
6	The Adams's clasp –lower molar	1			
7	Adam's clasp with incorporated helix	1			
8	Southend clasp	1			
9	Triangular Clasps	1			

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLEN

B. Different types of labial bows:

Sr. No	Name of wire component	No.	Date	Score	Signature
1	Short labial bow (upper and lower)	2			
2	Long labial bow (upper and lower)	2			
3	Split high labial bow	1			
4	Fitted labial bow	1			

C. Different types of springs and canine retractors

Sr. No.	Name of wire component	NO.	Date	Score	Signature
1	Finger spring	1			
2	Double Cantilever Spring	1			
3	Coffin Spring	1			
4	T – spring	1			
5	PG spring	1			
6	Marcotte spring	1			
7	U loop canine retractor	1			

0- POOR 1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

PRE-CLINICAL EXERCISE –APPLIANCES

Sr. No.	Name of the appliance	NO.	Date	Score	Signature
1.	Hawley's retainer with anterior bite plan	1			
2.	Upper Hawley's appliances with posterior Bite plane	1			
3.	Upper expansion appliance with expansion screw	1			
4.	Habit breaking appliance with tongue crib	1			
5.	Oral screen / vestibular screen	1			
6.	Lip bumper	1			
7.	Splint for Bruxism	1			
8.	Catalans appliance	1			
9.	Activator	1			
10.	Bionator	1			
11.	The Frankel functional regulator – FR I and II	1			
12.	Twin block	1			
13.	Lingual arch space maintainer	1			
14.	Transpalatal arch	1			
15.	Quad helix	1			
16.	Utility arches	1			
17.	Pendulum appliance	1			

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLE

SOLDERING EXERCISES

Sr. No.	Exercise	NO.	Date	Score	Signature
1	Star/comb/Christmas tree	1			

STUDY MODEL PREPARATION & MODEL ANALYSIS

SR. NO	Exercise	Date	Score	Signature
1	Alginate impression of upper and lower dental arch			
2	Preparation of study model- Permanent dentition			
3	Preparation of study model- Mixed dentition			
4	Model analysis of Permanent dentition			
5	Model analysis of Mixed dentition			

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD

4- VERY GOOD   5- EXCELLENT

MODEL ANALYSIS

PERMANENT DENTITION ANALYSIS:

I)   Teeth Present

II)   Tooth Measurements

MAXILLARY ARCH

6	5	4	3	2	1	1	2	3	4	5	6
6	5	4	3	2	1	1	2	3	4	5	6

MANDIBULAR ARCH

VI)   *Total Tooth Material*

	Maxillary	Mandibular
Actual		
Normal	91.7mm	84
Diff.		
Inference		

VII)   *Sum Of Incisors*

	Maxillary	Mandibular
Actual		
Normal	31.3mm	23
Diff.		
Inference		

VIII)   *Proclination (By Direct Method)*

	Maxillary	Mandibular
Actual		
Normal	2mm	0mm
Diff.		
Inference		

IX)   *Depth Of Palate (Maxillary Arch)*

Actual	Normal	Diff.	Inference
	17.5mm		

X)   Curve Of Spee (Mandibular Arch)

Actual	Normal	Diff	Inference
	1.5 mm		

XI) Spacing/ Crowding

	SPACING	**CROWDING**
Upper Arch		
Lower Arch		

XII) PONTS INDEX

(To determine the width of upper arch in premolar and molar region)

i)   Premolar region $=$ $\dfrac{\text{Sum of incisors X 100}}{80}$

$$= \dfrac{\qquad\qquad \times 100}{80}$$

ii)   Molar region $=$ $\dfrac{\text{sum of incisors x 100}}{64}$

Region	**Measured**	**Calculated**	**Diff**	**Inference**
Premolar				
Molar				

XIII) ASHLEY HOWES INDEX

A.H INDEX $= \dfrac{\text{Canine fossa width x 100}}{\text{Total tooth material}}$

$$=$$

$$=$$

XIV) NANCE & CAREY'S INDEX

Calculated L.D $=$ L.A. $+ 2x + 3.5$ (in mixed dentition) Where L.D $=$ Linear dimension
        L.A $=$ Sum of lower incisors
        X $=$ Sum of mesio-distal width of 3,4,5 of any oneside Calculated L.D $=$ L.A $+$ 2x

Measured LD	**Calculated L. D**	**Difference**	**Inference**

Note: if calculated LD is more than brass wire measured LD then only this inference is applicable

Antero-posterior	Right side	Left side
Molar region	Class	Class

XV) **MODELS IN OCCLUSION (ANGLES CLASSIFICATION)**

Overjet

_____Mm Overbite

_____

_____Mm

XVI) <u>BOLTON RATIO</u>

Sum of mandibular 12   =   Sum of maxillary 12   =
Sum of mandibular 6   =   Sum of maxillary 6   =

Overall Ratio: **Inference**

Overall Ratio = <u>Sum of mandibular 12x100</u>   < 91.3% Maxillary tooth material
                excess Sum of maxillary 12   > 91.3% Mandibular tooth material
                excess

Overall Ratio= _____<u>100x</u> =

Maxillary Excess = Maxillary 1<u>2 - mandibular 12x100</u>
    91.3
               =
               =
               =
Mandibular Excess = mandibular 12 - <u>Maxillary 12 x 91.3</u>
                                      100

               =
               =
               =

Anterior Ratio = <u>Sum of mandibular 6 x 100 </u> <77.2 5 Maxillary Anterior
                 excess Sum of maxillary 6   > 77.2 % Mandibular
                 Anterior excess

Anterior Ratio =_____<u>x100</u> =

Maxillary Anterior Excess = <u>Maxillary 6 - Mandibular6 x100 </u>=
                                  77.2

Mandibular Anterior Excess = <u>Mandibular 6 -Maxillary6 x77.2 </u>=
                                      100

SPACE PROBLEM

1) SPACE REQUIRED

NO	Space required for	Maxillary	Mandibular
1	To correct proclination		
2	To correct Rotation		
3	To correct curve of spee		
4	To correct crowding		
	Total space required		

2) SPACE AVAILABLE

NO	Space available by	Maxillary	Mandibular
1	Interdental spacing		
2	Expansion		
3	Distalization		
4	Interproximal stripping		
5	Derotation of posteriors		
6	Uprighting of posteriors		
7	Extraction after anchorage loss		
	Total space available		

Summary of the Model Analysis:

MODEL ANALYSIS

MIXED DENTITION ANALYSIS:

Prediction of Unerupted Teeth size:

1. Radiographs.   2. From Moyer's tables

---

1. Nance Analysis:

Measure the M-D width of the erupted permanent teeth
Measure the width of each unerupted tooth cuspids, bicuspids from IOPA
 The total M-D width of all teeth in each quadrant will indicate space required
 to accommodate the permanent teeth

2. Moyer's Analysis:

Measure the sum of M-D width of lower permanent incisors
Place the tip of gauge in midline and other at distal surface of lateral incisor
Distance from mesial surface of mandibular 1st molar to distal surface of lateral incisor is space available for eruption of permanent canine and premolar
If space available is > the predicted space the = space can be used for late mesial shift of molars
If space available is< predicted space=future crowding

SI$_L$	19.5	20	20.5	21	21.5	22	22.5	23	23.5	24	24.5	25	25.5	26	26.5
Moyers	20.1	20.4	20.7	21	21.3	21.6	21.9	22.2	22.5	22.8	23.1	23.4	23.7	24	24.3
Droschi															
Female	20.8	21	21.2	21.4	21.6	21.8	22	22.3	22.5	22.7	22.9	23.1	23.3	23.5	23.7
Male	20	20.3	20.6	20.8	21.1	21.4	21.6	21.9	22.2	22.4	22.7	23	23.2	23.5	23.7

‾345 75%-level of probability

345 75% - level of probability

SL$_L$	19.2	20	20.5	21	21.5	22	22.5	23	23.5	24	24.5	25	25.5	26	26.5
Moyers	20.6	20.9	21.2	21.5	21.8	22	22.3	22.6	22.9	23.1	23.4	23.7	24	24.2	24.5
Droschi															
Female	21.3	21.5	21.7	21.9	22	22.2	22.4	22.6	22.7	22.9	23.1	23.3	23.4	23.6	23.8
Male	20.2	20.5	20.7	21	24	21.2	21.5	21.7	22	22.2	22.5	22.7	23	23.2	23.5

3. Tanaka Johnston Analysis:

Predicted width of maxillary canine and premolar in one quadrant   =

$$\frac{\text{mesiodistal width of four lower incisors check} + 11.O}{2} =$$

Predicted width of mandibular canine and premolar in one quadrant =

$$\frac{\text{mesiodistal width of four lower incisors} + 10.5}{2} =$$

CEPHALOMETRIC ANALYSIS

CEPHALOMETRIC ANALYSIS

Sr. No.	Name of the Analysis	Signature
1	Lateral cephalogram to be traced in five different colors and super imposed to see the accuracy of tracing	
2	Down's analysis	
3	Tweed analysis & Wits appraisal	
4	Steiner's analysis	
5	Ricketts analysis	
6	Burrstone analysis	
7	Rakosi's analysis	
8	McNamara analysis	
9	Bjork analysis	
10	Coben's analysis	
11	Harvold analysis	
12	Soft tissue analysis – Holdaway and Burstone	

STEINER'S ANALYSIS

MEASUREMENT	RANGE	ACTUAL	INFERENCE
SNA (sella-nasion -ptA)	82 ± 2°		
SNB (sella-nasion-ptB)	80 ± 2°		
ANB	2±2°		
SN-ND (mand-corpus)	76-77°		
GO-GN to SN	32°		
Upper Incisor-NA (Angle)	22°		
Upper Incisor-NA	4mm		
Lower Incisor-NB (Angle)	25°		
Lower Incisor-NB	4mm		
Interincisal angle	130-131°		
S to E (condyle pt)	22 mm		
S to L (pog pt)	51 mm		
Occlusal plane to SN angle	15.5°		
Upper 6 to NA	27mm		
Lower 6 to NB	23 mm		
S Line (line extending from soft tissue contour of chin to middle of s formed by lower border of the nose)	0 mm		

DOWNS ANALYSIS

MEASUREMENT	MEAN	ACTUAL	INFERENCE
1. SKELETAL			
Facial angle (FH-NPog)	87.8°		
Angle of convexity (NptA-Pog-A)	0°		
A-B Plane to Facial plane (N-Pog)	-4.6°		
Mandibular plane to F.H.Plane	21.9°		
Y-axis (S Gn-FH)	59.4°		
2.DENTAL			
Occlusal plane to F.H. Plane	9.3°		
Interincisal angle	135.4°		
Lower incisor to Occlusal Plane angle	14.5°		
Inclination incisor inferior to mandibular Plane	91.4^{0}		
Inclination incisor superior to A Pog plane	2.7°		

TWEED'S ANALYSIS

Measurement	Mean	Actual	Difference	Inference
FMA	25^0			
FMIA	65^0			
IMPA	90^0			

WIT'S APPRAISAL

Measurement	Mean	Actual	Inference
AO-BO	F-0 mm, M- + 1mm		

RICKETTS ANALYSIS

Measurement	Mean (For 9 yrs)	Age Adjustment	Actual	Inference
Facial Axis (Ba-Na to Pt-Gn)	90 ± 3.5^0	None		
Facial (Depth) Angle (N-pog to FH)	87 ± 3^0	$+ 1^0$ every 3 Years		
Mandibular plane angle (Go-Gn to FH Plane)	26 ± 4.5^0	$- 1^0$ every 3 Years		
Convexity at Point A (N Pog-pt A)	2 ± 2^0	-1 mm every 3 Years		
Lower Incisor-APog	$1 \pm 2mm$	None		
Upper Molar (Distal Cusp) To Ptv	Age + 3mm	+1 mm/ yr		
Lower Incisor Inclination (1 To A Pog)	22 ± 4^0	None		
Lower Lip – E Plane	-2 mm	Decreases with growth		

RAKOSI JARABAK'S ANALYSIS

Measurement	Mean	Actual	Inference
Saddle angle (N-S-Ar)	123 ± 5^0		
Articular angle (S-Ar-Go)	143 ± 6^0		
Gonial angle (Ar-Go-Me)	128 ± 7^0		
Upper gonial angle (N-Go-Ar)	$52^0 - 55^0$		
Lower gonial angle (N-Go-Me)	$72^0 - 75^0$		
Sum of posterior angles (Saddle+Articular+Gonial Angle)	$396^0 \pm 6^0$		
Mandibular plane angle (N S-Me Go)	32^0		
Angle of inclination (Pn ⊥ To Maxillary Line (Plane)	85^0		
Pn to occlusal angle	75^0		
Pn to mandibular angle (Me Go)	65^0		
Basal Plane angle (Pal Plane-Me Go)	25^0		
Palatal plane to Occ. Plane	15^0		
Occ. Plane to MP (Me Go)	15^0		
Ant To post. Face height ratio (N Me-S Go)	62 – 65%		
Y-axis (S N to S Gn)	66^0		
Interincisal angle	135^0		
Maxillary incisor – SN	$102^0 \pm 2^0$		
Maxillary incisor-Pal. Plane	$70^0 \pm 5^0$		
Mandibular incisor-MP	$90^0 \pm 3^0$		
Se-N (Distance)	--		
Upper to lower base length	2:3		
Mandibular base Length (Go-a P Mandible (Pog)	3mm > Se – N distance		

BURSTONE AND COWORKERS' ANALYSIS FOR ORTHOGNATHIC SURGERY

MEASUREMENTS	MEAN		ACTUAL	INFERENCE
Cranial Base	**FEMALE**	**MALE**		
Ar-Ptm (ll HP)	32.1$\pm$1.9mm	37.1$\pm$2.8mm		
PTM- N (ll HP)	50.9$\pm$3mm	52.8$\pm$4.1mm		
Horizontal (skeletal)				
N-A-Pg (angle)	$2.6^0\pm5.1^0$	$3.9^0\pm6.4^0$		
N-A (ll HP)	-2$\pm$3.7mm	0$\pm$3.7mm		
N-B (ll HP)	-6.9$\pm$4.3mm	-5.3$\pm$6.7mm		
N-Pg (ll HP)	-6.5+5.1mm	-4.3+8.5mm		
Vertical (skeletal and Dental)				
N-ANS ($\perp$ HP)	50$\pm$2.4mm	54$\pm$3.2mm		
ANS-G ($\perp$HP)	61.3$\pm$3.3mm	68.6$\pm$3.8mm		
PNS-N ($\perp$ HP)	50.6$\pm$2.2mm	53.9$\pm$1.7		
MP-HP angle	$24.2^0\pm5^0$	$23^0\pm5.9^0$		
Upper 1-NF ($\perp$ NF)	27.5$\pm$1.7mm	30.5$\pm$2.1mm		
Lower 1-MP ($\perp$ MP)	40.8$\pm$1.8mm	45$\pm$2.1mm		
Upper 6-NF ($\perp$ NF)	23$\pm$1.3mm	26.2$\pm$2mm		
Lower 6-MP ($\perp$ MP)	32$\pm$1.9mm	35.8$\pm$2.6mm		
Maxilla And Mandible				
PNS-ANS (ll HP)	52.5$\pm$3.5mm	57.5$\pm$2.5mm		
Ar-Go (Liner)	46.8$\pm$2.5mm	52$\pm$4.2mm		
Go-Pg (Liner)	74.3$\pm$5.8mm	83.7$\pm$4.6mm		
B-Pg (ll MP)	7.2$\pm$1.9mm	8.9$\pm$1.7mm		
Ar-Go-Gn (angle)	$122^0\pm6.9^0$	$119^0\pm6.5^0$		
Dental				
OP upper- HP (angle)	$7.1^0\pm2.5^0$	$6.1^0\pm5.1^0$		
A-B- OP	0.4$\pm$2.5mm	-1.1$\pm$ -2mm		
Upper 1-NF (angle)	$112^0\pm5.3^0$	$111^0\pm4.7^0$		
Lower 1-MP (angle)	$95.9^0\pm5.7^0$	$95.9^0\pm5.7^0$		

MC NAMARA ANALYSIS

Measurement	Mean	Actual	Inference
N perpendicular –pt A	0.5-1.1mm		
Pogonion to Na perpendicular	-2 to +4		
Facial Axis angle (Ba Ptm- Ptm Gn)	90^0		
Mand Plane angle (Go-Me)	$26^0 \pm 4^0$		
Eff. Maxillary length (condylion to pt A)	99 ± 6 mm		
Eff. Mandibular length (Cond to Gn)	134 ± 7 mm		
Maxillomandibular differential	34 ± 4mm		
Lower Ant. Face height (ANS to menton)	66- 74 mm		
Upper incisor to Point A vertical	4 to 6 mm		
Lower Incisor to A Pog line	2.3 to 2.7 mm		
Nasolabial Angle (line tangent to base of nose and to upper lip)	90^0-100^0		
Upper pharynx (posterior outline of soft palate to closet point on posterior pharyngeal wall)	17.4mm		
Lower pharynx (intersection of posterior borders of the tongue &inferior border of mandible to closest point on the posterior pharyngeal wall)	11.3- 13.5 mm		

BJORK'S ANALYSIS

Parameter	Normal	Actual	Inference
Dentobasal relationships			
Sagittal			
Dentoalveolar			
Maxillary alveolar prognathism (pr-n-ss)	2^0		
Mandibular alveolar prognathism (CL/ML)	70^0		
Maxillary incisor inclination (ILs/NL)	110^0		
Mandibular incisor inclination (ILi/ML)	94^0		
Basal			
Sagittal jaw relationship			
(ss-n-pg) angle & convexity	2^0		
(ss-n-sm) ANB	3^0		
Vertical			
Dentoalveolar			
Maxillary zone (NI/OLs)	10^0		
Mandibular zone (OLi/ML)	20^0		
Basal			
Vertical jaw relationship (NL/ML)	25^0		
Cranial relationships			
Sagittal			
Basal			
Maxillary prognathism	82^0		
Mandibular prognathism	80^0		
Vertical			
Basal			
Maxillary inclination (NSL/NL)	8^0		
Mandibular inclination (NSL/ML)	33^0		
Growth zones			
Cranial base			
n-s-ar SADDLE ANGLE (growth zone 1)	124^0		
n-s-ba (growth zone 2)	131^0		
Mandibular morphology			
Beta-angle(angle bet^n AB line and perpendicular through ptA from apparent axis of condyle) to Ar	(I = 27-35) (II = <27) (III = >35)		
Jaw angle	126^0		

COBEN   ANALYSIS

	Measurement	Unit	Mean	Standard Deviation	Range	Actual	Inference
DEPTH	Ba.N	Mm	83.1	3.75	75.0-92.5		
	Ba.s	% Ba.N	24.9	2.19	19.9-29.7		
	S.Ptm	% Ba.N	20.7	2.82	15.6-26.8		
	Ptm.A	% Ba.N	51.4	2.59	44.8-57.8		
	Ba.A	% Ba.N	97	3.24	90.7-105.1		
	Ba.Ar	% Ba.N	9.9	2.63	5.2-15.4		
	Ar.Po	% Ba.N	80.2	6.48	63.2-94.3		
	Ba.Po	% Ba.N	90.1	6.38	73.6-107.0		
	Ar.Go (A.L)	% Ba.N	45.2	3.2	37.5-52.5		
	RI angle	°	9.8	4.98			
	Ar.Go	% Ba.N	7.6	3.95			
	Go.Po' (A.L)	% Ba.N	76.9	3.99	67.4-84.6		
	MPI angle	°	26.4	4.07	18.0-36.0		
	Go.Po	% Ba.N	72.6	4.44	62.8-81.5		
	Go angle	°	126.2	5.41	114.0-138.0		
	MPI angle	°	26.4	4.07	18.0-36.0		
	RI angle	°	9.8	4.98			
HEIGHT	N.S	% N.M	7.1	3.69			
	S.Ar	% N.M	26.5	1.79	20.6-30.4		
	Ar.Go	% N.M	38.5	2.76	32.0-44.8		
	S.Go	% N.M	65	3.79	58.2-73.0		
	N.ANS	% N.M	45.8	2.18	41.3-50.5		
	ANS.I	% N.M	23.8	2.18	18.7-27.4		
	M.l	% N.M	33.4	1.76	29.5-36.8		
	1-1	% N.M	3	2.45			
	ANS. M	% N.M	54.2	2.18	49.5-58.7		
	N.M	% Ba.N	115.3	6.56	95.1-127.3		
	Facial convexity	°	84.8	3.37	77.0-94.0		
		°	4.8	4.14			

HARVOLD'S ANALYSIS

Variables and norms	Mean	SD	Actual	Inference
Angle of convexity (Pg-ANS/ANS-N)	Not specified			
Interincisal angle	128 ±4°			
Occlusal plane / line through the roots of the maxillary and mandibular central incisors	89 ±5°			
Length of the jaws and lower face height				
6 years				
Forward position of the maxilla (TM to ANS)	80mm	±2.96		
Mandibular length (TM to Pg)	97mm	±3.55		
Lower face height (ANS-Gn)	57mm	±3.22		
Difference in jaw length	17mm			
9 years				
Forward position of the maxilla (TM to ANS)	85mm	±3.43		
Mandibular length (TM to Pg)	105mm	±3.88		
Lower face height (ANS-Gn)	60mm	±3.62		
Difference in jaw length	20mm			
12 years				
Forward position of the maxilla (TM to ANS)	90mm	±4.07		
Mandibular length (TM to Pg)	113mm	±5.20		
Lower face height (ANS-Gn)	62mm	±4.36		
Difference in jaw length	23mm			
14 years				
Forward position of the maxilla (TM to ANS)	92mm	±3.69		
Mandibular length (TM to Pg)	117mm	±4.60		
Lower face height (ANS-Gn)	64mm	±4.39		
Difference in jaw length	26mm			
16 years				
Forward position of the maxilla (TM to ANS)	93mm	±3.45		
Mandibular length (TM to Pg)	119mm	±4.44		
Lower face height (ANS-Gn)	65mm	±4.67		
Difference in jaw length	26mm			

HOLDWAY'S SOFT TISSUE ANALYSIS

Variables And Norms	Mean	Actual	Inference
Soft tissue facial angle (A) (FH plane to N'-Pog ')	91±7°		
Nose prominence (B)	14 to 24°		
Superior sulcus depth (Q)	1 to 4°		
Soft tissue subnasale to H line (D)	5±2°		
Skeletal profile convexity (E) (pt A to N-Pog line)	0		
Basic upper lip thickness (F) (pt on outer alveolar plate 2mm below ptA to outer border of upper lip)	13 to 14 mm		
Upper lip strain (G)(vermillion border of upper lip to labial surface of maxillary central incisors)	13-14 mm		
H angle (H)(between H line and N'-Pog')	7 - 14°		
Lower lip to H line (I)	-1 to 2mm		
Inferior sulcus to H line (J)	No norms		
Soft tissue chin thickness (K)(Pog to Pog')	10-12 mm		

.

LEGAN AND BURSTONE SOFT TISSUE ANALYSIS FOR ORTHOGNATHIC SURGERY

FACIAL FORM	MEAN	ACTUAL	INFERENCE
Facial Convexity Angle (G-Sn-Pg')	12°		
Maxillary Prognathism (G-Sn (HP*))	6 mm		
Mandibular prognathism (G-Pg'(HP*))	0		
Vertical Height Ratio (G-Sn/Sn-Me'(HP+))	1°		
Lower Face-Throat Angle (Sn-Gn'-C)	100°		
Lower Vertical Height- Depth Ratio (Sn-Gn / c-Gn')	1:2		
Lip position and form			
Nasolabial angle (Cm-Sn-Ls)	102°		
Upper Lip Protrusion (Ls to (Sn-Pg'))	3 mm		
Lower lip protrusion (Li to (Sn-Pg')	2 mm		
Mentolabial Sulcus (Si To (Li-Pg'))	4 mm		
Vertical lip-chin ratio (Sn-Stms / Stmi-Me' (HP))	0.5		
Maxillary incisor exposure (Stms s/1)	2		
Interlabial gap (Stms- Stmi (HP+))	2 mm		

VARIOUS SUPERIMPOSITION METHODS

Sr.no	Exercise	Grade	Signature
1	Best fit method		
2	Pitchfork analysis		
3	American board of orthodontics superimposition method		

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

BASIC CLINICAL PHOTOGRAPHY

Intraoral & Extraoral photographs

Sr. no	Exercise	Date	Score	Sign
1.	Patient 1			
2.	Patient 2			

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Sr.no	Exercise	Grade	Signature
1	Bonwill Hawley ideal arch preparation		
2	Computerized imaging		
3	Preparation of surgical splint		
4	Preparation of splint for TMJ problem		
5	Vacuum forming appliance		
6	Intraoral scanning and treatment planning		

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

TYPHODONT EXERCISE: BEGG /P.E.A.

SR.NO	EXERCISE	DATE	SCORE	SIGNATURE
1	Teeth setting in malocclusion (type of malocclusion_)			
2	Band pinching, welding bracket and buccal tubes to the bands			
3	Different stages depending on applied technique (1storder bend,2ndorder bend,3rdorder bend)			

CLINICAL WORK

PATIENT RECORD (REMOVABLE APPLIANCE)

Sr. no	File no	Patients name	Appliance type	Type of malocclusion	Sign

Patient Record (FIXED APPLIANCES)

Sr. No	File No	Patient Name	Work Done (Complete case history, photos, study models, X- rays)	Type of malocclusion	Transferred or Debonded	Signature
1.						
2.						
3.						
4.						
5.						
6.						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						
16.						
17.						
18.						
19.						
20.						
21.						
22.						
23.						
24.						
25.						
26.						
27.						
28.						
29.						
30.						
31.						
32.						
33.						
34.						
35.						
36.						
37.						
38.						
39.						
40.						

Sr. No	File No	Patient Name	Work Done (Complete case history, photos, study models, X- rays)	Type of malocclusion	Transferred or Debonded	Signature
41.						
42.						
43.						
44.						
45.						
46.						
47.						
48.						
49.						
50.						
51.						
52.						
53.						
54.						
55.						
56.						
57.						
58.						
59.						
60.						
61.						
62.						
63.						
64.						
65.						
66.						
67.						
68.						
69.						
70.						
71.						
72.						
73.						
74.						
75.						
76.						
77.						
78.						
79.						
80.						

Sr. No	File No	Patient Name	Work Done (Complete case history, Photos, Study models, X- rays)	Type of malocclusion	Transferred or completed	signature
81.						
82.						
83.						
84.						
85.						
86.						
87.						
88.						
89.						
90.						
91.						
92.						
93.						
94.						
95.						
96.						
97.						
98.						
99.						
100.						
101.						
102.						
103.						
104.						
105.						
106.						
107.						
108.						
109.						
110.						
111.						
112.						
113.						
114.						
115.						
116.						
117.						
118.						
119.						
120.						

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CASE PRESENTATION

Name of the patient: OPD NO.:

Name of the student:

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Completeness of history					
2.	Whether all relevant points elicited					
3.	Clarity of presentation					
4.	Logical order					
5.	Mentioned all positive and negative points					
6.	Accuracy of general physical examination					
7.	Diagnosis: Whether it follows logically from history and findings					
8.	Investigations required					
	Complete list.					
	Relevant order					
	Interpretation of investigations					
9.	Ability to react to questioning whether it follows logically from history and findings.					
10.	Ability to defend diagnosis					
11.	Ability to justify differential diagnosis					
12.	Others.					
	Total Score					

0-Poor   1- below average   2- average   3-good   4-very good

Date: Staff signature

Transferred Cases

Sr. No	OPD No	Patient Name	Transferred from	Records received (Case paper, Pre-treatment photo, Study models & X-rays)	Current status	Payment remaining till date	Signature
1.							
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							
16.							
17.							
18.							
19.							
20.							
21.							
22.							
23.							
24.							
25.							
26.							
27.							
28.							
29.							
30.							
31.							
32.							
33.							
34.							
35.							
36.							
37.							
38.							
39.							
40.							

ACADEMIC WORK

SEMINARS PRESENTED

Sr. No.	Date	Seminar Topic	Score	Signature
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
1O				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				
16.				
17.				
18.				
19.				
20.				

21.				
22.				
23.				
24.				
25.				
26.				
27.				
28.				
29.				
30.				

0-   POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELL

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: -   **--**

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE 2- AVERAGE 3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

DATE: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: - **--**

S.No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE 2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: - **--**

S.No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: -   **--**

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: -   **--**

Sr. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: ---------------------------------------

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: -   **---**

Sr.No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: ---------------------------------------

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: -   **--**

Sr.No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: -   **--**

Sr.No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: -   **--**

Sr.No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: -   **---**

Sr.No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: ---

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: -   **---**

Sr.No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: ---

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: -   **--**

Sr.No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: -   **--**

Sr.No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: -   **---**

Sr.No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: -   **--**

Sr.No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: **--**

Sr.No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: --

Sr.No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: --

Sr.No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: ---

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: **--**

Sr.No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC FOR SEMINAR: --

Sr.No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
2.	Whether cross-references have been consulted	
3.	Completeness of the preparation	
4.	Clarity of presentation	
5.	Understanding of the subject	
6.	Ability to answer questions	
7.	Time scheduling	
8.	Appropriate use of audio-visual aids	
9.	Overall performance	
10.	Any other observation	
	Total	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

SEMINARS ATTENDED

SEMINARS ATTENDED

Sr. No	DATE	SEMINAR TOPIC
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
1 7		
18		
19		
20		

Sr. No	DATE	SEMINAR TOPIC
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		

Sr. No	DATE	SEMINAR TOPIC
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
56		
57		
58		
59		
60		

Sr. No	DATE	SEMINAR TOPIC
61		
62		
63		
64		
65		
66		
67		
68		
69		
70		
71		
72		
73		
74		
75		
76		
77		
78		
79		
80		

Sr. No	DATE	SEMINAR TOPIC

Sr. No	DATE	SEMINAR TOPIC
81		
82		
83		
84		
85		
86		
87		
88		
89		
90		
91		
92		
93		
94		
95		
96		
97		
98		
99		
100		

Sr. No	DATE	SEMINAR TOPIC
101		
102		
103		
104		
105		
106		
107		
108		
109		
110		
111		
112		
113		
114		
115		
116		
117		
118		
119		
120		

Sr. No	DATE	SEMINAR TOPIC
121		
122		
123		
124		
125		
126		
127		
128		
129		
130		
131		
132		
133		
134		
135		
136		
137		
138		
139		
140		

Sr. No	DATE	SEMINAR TOPIC
141		
142		
143		
144		
145		
146		
147		
148		
149		
150		
151		
152		
153		
154		
155		
156		
157		
158		
159		
160		

JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

JOURNAL CLUB ARTICLES PRESENTATION

Sr. No.	Date	Journal Article Presented	Score	Signature
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC : -   **---**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC: -   **--**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC : - **---**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: ---

TOPIC : - **--**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC: - **---**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: ---

TOPIC : - **---**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC : -   **--**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC: - **--**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR 1- BELOW AVERAGE 2- AVERAGE 3- GOOD 4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC : - **--**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: ---

TOPIC : -   **---**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC : - **---**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC : -   **---**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: ---

TOPIC : -   **---**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC : -   **---**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC : -   **---**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC : - **---**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC : -   **--**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC : -   **--**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC : -   **---**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

EVALUATION OF JOURNAL CLUB PRESENTATION

NAME OF THE PRESENTER: --

TOPIC : -   **---**

S. No.	Items for observation during presentation	Grade
1.	Article chosen	
2.	Extent of understanding of scope and objectives of the paper by the candidate	
3.	Whether cross references have been consulted	
4.	Whether other relevant publications consulted	
5.	Ability to respond to questions on the paper/subject	
6.	Audio visual aids used	
7.	Ability to defend the paper	
8.	Clarity of presentation	
9.	Any other observation	
	Total Score	

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD
5- EXCELLENT

Date: **STAFF SIGNATURE**

JOURNAL CLUB ATTENDED

JOURNAL CLUB ARTICLES ATTENDED

Sr. No.	Date	JOURNAL CLUB ATTENDED
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		

21.		
22.		
23.		
24.		
25.		
26.		
27.		
28.		
29.		
30.		
31.		
32.		
33.		
34.		
35.		
36.		
37.		
38.		
39.		
40.		

41.		
42.		
43.		
44.		
45.		
46.		
47.		
48.		
49.		
50.		
51.		
52.		
53.		
54.		
55.		
56.		
57.		
58.		
59.		
60.		

61		
62		
63		
64		
65		
66		
67		
68		
69		
70		
71		
72		
73		
74		
75		
76		
77		
78		
79		
80		

81		
82		
83		
84		
85		
86		
87		
88		
89		
90		
91		
92		
93		
94		
95		
96		
97		
98		
99		
100		

101		
102		
103		
104		
105		
106		
107		
108		
109		
110		
111		
112		
113		
114		
115		
116		
117		
118		
119		
120		

121		
122		
123		
124		
125		
126		
127		
128		
129		
130		
131		
132		
133		
134		
135		
136		
137		
138		
139		
140		

UNDERGRADUATE TEACHING PROGRAME

Sr. No.	DATE	TOPIC OF LECTURE
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		

SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITIES

CONFERENCE:

Sr. No.	Name of Conference	Date	Place
1			
2			
3			

CONVENTIONS:

Sr. No.	Name of Convention	Date	Place
1			
2			
3			

COURSES/WORKSHOPS/CDE:

Sr. No.	Name of Course/ Workshop	Date	Place
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			

POSTER/ TABLE CLINIC PRESENTATION:

Sr. No.	Topic	Date	Place	Prize
1				
2				
3				
4				

PAPER PRESENTATION:

Sr. No.	Topic	Date	Place	Prize
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				

PUBLICATIONS

Sr No	Title of Article	Journal of Publication	Year of Publication
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			

INTRADEPARTMENTAL MEETINGS ATTENDED

INTERDEPARTMENTAL MEETINGS ATTENDED

First year

Sr. no.	DATE	PARTICULARS OF ACTIVITY
1.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		

INTERDEPARTMENTAL MEETINGS ATTENDED

Second year

SR. NO.	DATE	PARTICULARS OF ACTIVITY
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

INTERDEPARTMENTAL MEETINGS ATTENDED

Third year

SR. NO.	DATE	PARTICULARS OF ACTIVITY
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

CHECKLIST FOR LD & THESIS

MODEL CHECK LIST FOR LIBRARY DISSERTATION PRESENTATION

Name of the trainee: **Date:** /   /

Name of the Faculty / observer:

Sr. No.	Items for observation	0	1	2	3	4
1	Interest shown in selecting topic					
2	Appropriate review					
3	Discussion with guide and other faculty					
4	Quality of protocol					
5	Preparation of Proforma					
	Total score					

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD

CONTINUOUS EVALUATION OF DISSERTATION WORK BY GUIDE

Name of the trainee: **Date:**   /   /
Name of the Faculty / observer:

Sr. No.	Items for observation	0	1	2	3	4
1	Periodic consultation with guide / co-guide					
2	Regular collection of case material					
3	Depth of Analysis / Discussion					
4	Department presentation of findings					
5	Quality of final output					
6	Others					
	Total score					

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD

MODEL CHECK LIST FOR FINAL DISSERTATION PRESENTATION

Sr. No.	Items for observation	0	1	2	3	4
1	Interest shown in selecting topic					
2	Appropriate review					
3	Discussion with guide and other faculty					
4	Quality of protocol					
5	Preparation of proforma					
	Total score					

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL WORK

Name of the student: Date:

Name of the instructor:

Sr.No.	Items for observation during presentation	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Regularity of attendance					
2.	Punctuality					
3.	Interaction with colleagues and supportive staff					
4.	Maintenance of case record					
5.	Presentation of cases					
6.	Investigations work up					
7.	Chair-side manners.					
8.	Rapport with patients					
9.	Over all quality of clinical work					
	Total Score					

0- POOR   1- BELOW AVERAGE   2- AVERAGE   3- GOOD   4- VERY GOOD

Date: Staff signature

OVERALL ASSESMENT SHEET

Date:

Sr no.	Faculty member	Name of trainee	Mean score*
1.			

- Mean score is the sum total from 0-5

Signature of GUIDE   **Signature of HOD**   **Signature of DEAN/ PRINCIPAL**